

# Chery QQ3 - NO AIRBAGS



★ ★ ★ ★ ★  
**0.06** max. 17.00 Adult



★ ★ ★ ★ ★  
**2.00** max. 49.00 Child


Tested at 64 km/h

Bodyshell integrity: UNSTABLE

## ADULT OCCUPANT PROTECTION



FRONT PASSENGER



DRIVER

- GOOD
- ADEQUATE
- MARGINAL
- WEAK
- POOR

## CAR DETAILS

<b>TESTED MODEL</b>	Chery QQ3, RHD
<b>BODY TYPE</b>	5 DOOR HATCHBACK
<b>CRASH TEST WEIGHT</b>	KG 1128
<b>YEAR OF PUBLICATION</b>	2017

## CHILD RESTRAINTS

	CHILD RESTRAINT	HEAD / CHEST	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 MONTH OLD CHILD	CHICCO KEYFIT	PROTECTED / GOOD	0+	BELTED	RWF
3 YEAR OLD CHILD	CHICCO ELETTA COMFORT	VULNERABLE / GOOD	1	BELTED	FWF

## SAFETY EQUIPMENT

FRONT SEATBELT PRETENSIONERS	NO	SIDE BODY AIRBAGS	NO	SBR	NO
DRIVER FRONTAL AIRBAG	NO	SIDE HEAD AIRBAGS	NO	ISOFIX ANCHORAGES	NO
FRONT PASSENGER FRONTAL AIRBAG	NO	DRIVER KNEE AIRBAG	NO	ABS (4 CHANNEL)	NO

### ADULT OCCUPANT

The protection offered to the driver head and chest was Poor which explain the zero stars result. The protection offered to the passenger head was good and passenger's chest received marginal protection. The front passengers' knees could impact with dangerous structures behind the dashboard. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and it was not capable of withstanding any further loadings. The footwell area was rated as unstable showing rupture exposing the driver feet to risks. The vehicle was not equipped with airbags.

### CHILD OCCUPANT

The car manufacturer did not recommended available CRSs for the test. The child seat for the 3 year old child was unable to prevent excessive forward movement during the impact. The Q1.5 CRS showed good protection while the Q3 CRs showed marginal protection as the head was exposed during the impact. The CRs used showed incompatibility with the car restrain systems. The installation instructions on both child seats were insufficient and not permanently attached to the seat. The vehicle was not equipped with ISOFIX anchorages and did not offer 3 point belts in all seating positions.